

SOLUTIONS TO HOMEWORK 7

1. For a field  $k$ , show that the set  $\text{Aut}(k)$  of automorphisms of  $k$  forms of group under composition. If  $f \in \mathbf{Z}[X]$  and  $a \in k$  satisfies  $f(a) = 0$ , then show that for any  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(k)$ ,  $f(\sigma(a)) = 0$ . If  $L$  is an extension of  $k$  and  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(L)$  acts trivially on  $k$  (i.e.,  $\sigma(x) = x$  for all  $x \in k \subseteq L$ ), then for any  $f \in k[X]$  and  $a \in L$  satisfying  $f(a) = 0$ , prove that  $f(\sigma(a)) = 0$ .

The first part is straightfoward. As for the other parts, if  $f = \sum c_i X^i$  and  $\sigma(c_i) = c_i$ , then for all  $a \in L$ ,

$$f(\sigma(a)) = \sum c_i \sigma(a)^i = \sum \sigma(c_i) \sigma(a^i) = \sigma(f(a)).$$

Thus,  $f(\sigma(a)) = \sigma(f(a)) = 0$  when  $f(a) = 0$ .

2. Prove that  $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{Q})$  and  $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{F}_p)$  are trivial. Also, show that  $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{R})$  is trivial. For this latter one, do *not* make any a priori continuity assumptions, but you may use the fact from basic analysis that every positive real number has a square root in  $\mathbf{R}$ .

The first two follow from Homework 1, Exercise 8(ii). As for  $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{R})$ , note that any automorphism fixes the subfield  $\mathbf{Q}$  (by Homework 1, Exercise 8(ii) again!). Since each real number is uniquely determined by the set of rationals greater than it, essentially by the definition of  $\mathbf{R}$  (or its construction, depending on your point of view), it suffices to prove an automorphism of  $\mathbf{R}$  must be order-preserving. So for  $\sigma : \mathbf{R} \simeq \mathbf{R}$  an automorphism, we need to show that  $\sigma(x) > 0$  if  $x > 0$ . But the positive elements of  $\mathbf{R}$  are exactly the non-zero squares, from which we deduce the result.

3. Prove that  $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{2}))$  is cyclic of order 2 and  $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{Q}(2^{1/3}))$  is trivial, where  $2^{1/3}$  denotes the unique solution to  $X^3 = 2$  in  $\mathbf{R}$  (or you can think of this as the ‘abstract’ field  $\mathbf{Q}[X]/(X^3 - 2)$ ).

It is clear that an automorphism of  $k(a)$  which fixes  $k$  is completely determined by where  $a$  goes. By Exercise 1, an automorphism of  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  must send  $\sqrt{2}$  to another solution to  $X^2 = 2$  in the field. There are two such solutions (since  $\sqrt{2}$  and  $-\sqrt{2}$  work and a degree  $d$  equation over a field has at most  $d$  solutions in the field). Thus, there are at most two automorphisms. We verify by hand that the set-theoretic map  $a + b\sqrt{2} \mapsto a - b\sqrt{2}$  is a ring map and so is a field automorphism. Thus,  $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{2}))$  is a group of order 2.

We now check that  $\mathbf{Q}[X]/(X^3 - 2)$  contains a *unique* solution to  $T^3 = 2$ . You can do this ‘by hand’, but here is a more enlightening approach: say  $u$  and  $v$  are two such solutions, so both are non-zero. Then  $u/v$  is a cube root of unity. The field admits an embedding into  $\mathbf{R}$ , which has no non-trivial cube roots of unity. Thus,  $u/v = 1$ .

4. Show that  $f(X) = X^4 - 2X^2 + 9 \in \mathbf{Q}[X]$  is irreducible, but for all  $c \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $f(X + c)$  is not Eisenstein with respect to any prime  $p$  (i.e.,  $f$  has no ‘Eisenstein translates’). In other words, Eisenstein’s criteria can’t always be used to prove irreducibility.

First note that if  $f$  is reducible, it must factor into a product of two monic quadratics over  $\mathbf{Q}$  (even over  $\mathbf{Z}$ ), and these are given by standard formulas (i.e., symmetric functions of roots) in terms of the roots of  $f$  in a splitting field. So the first thing to do is to describe a splitting field  $K$  of  $f$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Let  $r, -r, s, -s$  be the roots of  $f$  in  $K$ . We claim that the four roots are of the form  $u + v, u - v, -u - v, -u + v$  with  $u^2 = 2, v^2 = -1$  (i.e.,  $K$  is a splitting field of  $(X^2 - 2)(X^2 + 1)$ ). To see this, note that  $r^2$  is a root of  $Y^2 - 2Y + 9$ , so by the quadratic formula,  $K$  contains an element  $a$  with  $a^2 = -2$  and  $r^2 = 1 + 2a$ . Since  $s^2 \neq r^2$ , we must have  $s^2 = 1 - 2a$ . Thus,  $(r + s)^2 = 2 + 2rs$ . Since  $(rs)^2 = 9$  (by considering the constant term of  $f$ ),  $rs = 3$  or  $rs = -3$ . Interchanging the roles of  $s$  and  $-s$  if necessary, say  $rs = -3$ , so  $v = (r + s)/2$  satisfies  $v^2 = -1$ . Then  $u = a/v$  satisfies  $u^2 = 2$ , and one now checks that not only does  $K$  contain  $\mathbf{Q}(u, v)$ , but the roots of  $f$  can be expressed in terms of  $u$  and  $v$  as asserted above, so  $K = \mathbf{Q}(u, v)$ .

Now check that no product of any two of the linear factors of  $f$  over  $K$  lie in  $\mathbf{Q}[X]$ . This proves irreducibility. The other part is checked by considering cases (and a bit of brute force).

5. Let  $A = \mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$  and let  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{5})$  be the fraction field of  $A$ . Show that  $X^2 - X - 1$  is irreducible in  $A[X]$  but is reducible in  $K[X]$ . Why doesn’t the proof of Gauss’ Lemma apply here?

Gauss' Lemma applies to UFDs. It turns out that  $A$  is not a UFD (as the above example shows must be the case!). Any non-trivial factorization over  $A[X]$ , which involves monics without loss of generality (check!), would give rise to a monic factorization in  $K[X]$ . Thus, it is necessary and sufficient to check that  $X^2 - X - 1$  factors into a product of linear monics in  $K[X]$  which do not lie in  $A[X]$ . Use the quadratic formula.

6. Factor all monic cubic polynomials in  $\mathbf{F}_2[X]$  into a product of monic irreducibles.

Have fun. Cubics are irreducible iff they have no root.

7. Choose  $a \in \mathbf{F}_p$  and consider  $f_a(t) = t^p - t - a \in \mathbf{F}_p[t]$ .

(i) If  $a = 0$ , show that  $f_a = \prod_{r \in \mathbf{F}_p} (t - r)$ .

(ii) Suppose  $a \neq 0$  and let  $k/\mathbf{F}_p$  be a splitting field of  $f_a$  (so  $k$  really depends on  $a$  too). If  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are two roots of  $f_a$  in  $k$ , what can you say about  $r_1 - r_2$ ?

(iii) Suppose  $a \neq 0$ . Show that  $f_a$  is irreducible in  $\mathbf{F}_p[t]$ .

(iv) Show that  $t^p - t - 4 \in \mathbf{Q}[X]$  is irreducible for all primes  $p$ .

(i) Since  $f(r) = 0$  for all  $r \in \mathbf{F}_p$ , certainly  $\prod(t - r)$  divides  $f_0$ . Comparing degrees and leading coefficients, they are equal.

(ii) Let  $d = r_1 - r_2$ . Then  $d^p - d = f_a(r_1) - f_a(r_2) = 0$  (since  $(-1)^p = -1$  in characteristic  $p$ , including  $p = 2$ ). By (i), we see that  $d \in \mathbf{F}_p$ . Thus, if  $r$  is a single root of  $f_a$  in  $k$ , then  $\{r + d \mid d \in \mathbf{F}_p\}$  is a full set of  $p$  roots of  $f_a$ , all in  $k$ .

(iii) Suppose  $f_a = gh$  with  $g, h \in \mathbf{F}_p[t]$  monic, and  $g$  has degree  $\delta$  with  $0 \leq \delta \leq p$ . The roots of  $g$  (in a splitting field of  $f_a$ ) have the form  $r + d$  for various  $d \in \mathbf{F}_p$ , with  $r$  a root of  $f_a$  in some splitting field. Note that  $r \notin \mathbf{F}_p$ , by (i). Thus, the second-highest term in  $g$  has coefficient of the form  $\delta r + x$  with  $x \in \mathbf{F}_p$ . But this coefficient lives in  $\mathbf{F}_p$ , so  $\delta r \in k$  actually lives in  $\mathbf{F}_p$ . Since  $r \notin \mathbf{F}_p$ , we must have  $\delta = 0$  in  $k$ , so  $\delta = p$  or  $\delta = 0$ . This proves the factorization of  $f_a$  had to be trivial.

(iv) Check  $p = 2$  by the quadratic formula. Otherwise note this is irreducible mod  $p$  by (iii), so is irreducible in  $\mathbf{Z}[X]$ . By Gauss' Lemma, we have irreducibility in  $\mathbf{Q}[X]$ .

8. Clearly  $a = e^{2\pi i/7}$  is a root of  $X^7 - 1 = (X - 1)f$ , where

$$f = X^6 + X^5 + X^4 + X^3 + X^2 + X + 1$$

was shown to be irreducible over  $\mathbf{Q}$  in the previous exercise. Since  $a \neq 1$ ,  $f$  is the minimal polynomial of  $a$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Since  $b = 2 \cos(2\pi/7) = a + a^{-1}$ , we see that  $b^2 = a^2 + 2 + a^{-2}$  and  $b^3 = a^3 + 3(a + a^{-1}) + a^{-3}$ . Using  $a^7 = 1$ , we see that  $b^3 - 3b + b^2 - 1 + b = f(a) = 0$ , so  $b$  is a root of  $g = X^3 + X^2 - 2X - 1$ . We need to show  $g$  is irreducible over  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Use the rational root theorem.