

A Definition of Scalar Curvature on Simplicial Approximations to Riemannian Manifolds

Benjamin Pittman-Polletta

April 6, 2007

- 1 Why Discrete Curvature?
- 2 Background
 - Curvature
 - Approximating Manifolds
- 3 Simplicial Scalar Curvature in Dimension 2
 - Definition
 - Convergence Results
- 4 Proving Convergence in Dimension 2
 - The Angle Difference Formula
 - A Nonconstant Curvature Law of Cosines
 - Approximating an Integral With a Sum
 - Out of Many Estimates, One Result
- 5 Concluding Remarks

Why Discrete Curvature?

- Lattice approximations to quantum gravity.
- Computational gravity.
- Computation of geometric flows.
- Curvature of data sets?

Curvature

Definition

- The **Riemann curvature tensor** is the 4-tensor

$$R(X, Y, Z, W) = g(R(X, Y)Z, W),$$

where

$$R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z.$$

Coefficients: R_{ijkl} .

- The **sectional curvature** is the function

$$K(X, Y) = \frac{R(X, Y, Y, X)}{|X|^2 |Y|^2 - g(X, Y)^2}.$$

Definition

- The **Ricci curvature** is the symmetric 2-tensor

$$R_{ij} = g^{kl} R_{kijl}.$$

- The **scalar curvature** is the contraction

$$R^2 = g^{ij} g^{kl} R_{kijl}.$$

Scalar Curvature

- In 2d, $R^2 = \frac{K}{2}$, and K is the **Gauss curvature**.
- In higher dimensions, $R^2(p)$ is the average of K over all planes in T_pM .
- Scalar curvature tells us how the volume of a ball changes:

$$V_g(B_t^g(p)) = \left[1 - \frac{R^2}{6(n+2)} t^2 + O(t^4) \right] V_E(B_t(0)).$$

Scalar Curvature

- The vacuum Einstein equations are derived from the Einstein-Hilbert action:

$$\int kR^2 \sqrt{-\det g} dx^4 = \int kR^2 dV_g.$$

- Ricci flow is also derived from an action involving R^2 .

Simplicial Complexes

Definition

A **finite simplicial complex** K is a finite set of elements called **vertices** and a set of finite, nonempty subsets of vertices called **simplices** so that

- Any set containing a single vertex is a simplex.
 - Any nonempty subset of a simplex is also a simplex.
-
- $j + 1$ vertices have dimension j .
 - The dimension of K is $\sup_{\sigma \subset K} \dim \sigma$.

Piecewise Flat Spaces

Definition

A **piecewise flat space** is a simplicial complex and a set of edge lengths

$$l : \{\sigma^1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

defining a metric flat on each simplex in K .

The mesh of a piecewise flat space is $\eta = \sup_{\{\sigma^1\}} l$.

Approximating Manifolds

To approximate a manifold M :

- 1 Embed a simplicial complex K in M with a smooth mapping f .
- 2 Take the geodesic distances between the vertices as the metric l .

In 2d, we can take each edge to be totally geodesic.

Motivation

In 2d, imagine a "secant approximation" of a surface.

- 1 At a "flat point", the sum of angles is 2π .
- 2 At a "positive curvature point", the sum of angles is less than 2π .
- 3 At a "negative curvature point", the sum of angles is more than 2π .

Scalar Curvature for Piecewise Linear Spaces of Dimension 2

Definition

Let M be a Riemannian 2-manifold, let T be a piecewise-flat approximation, and let $U \in M$ be measurable. Then the **angle-defect measure** is

$$R_{\eta}^2(U) := \sum_{v_i \in T \cap U} R_{\eta}^2(v_i),$$

where

$$R_{\eta}^2(v_i) := 4\pi - 2 \sum_{\Delta_j \ni v_i} \alpha_i^j,$$

where α_i^j is the angle at v_i of the Euclidean triangle Δ_j .

Convergence Results in Dimension 2

- The angle-defect measure is supported at vertices, so it will not converge pointwise to scalar curvature.
- For triangulations with $\eta \rightarrow 0$, it converges to the integral of scalar curvature.

Convergence Results in Dimension 2

Definition

Let U be a measurable subset of a Riemannian manifold M . Then the **scalar curvature measure** is

$$R^2(U) = \int_U R^2(x) dV.$$

Theorem

Let U be a measurable subset of a Riemannian 2-manifold. There is $c = c(\|R\|, \|\nabla R\|)$ so that

$$|R_\eta^2(U) - R^2(U)| \leq c [A(U)\eta + A(\partial_\eta U)].$$

where $A(U)$ is the Riemannian area of U , and $\partial_\eta U$ is the set of points less than η from ∂U .

Relating Angles to Curvature

- 1 As we shrink a geodesic triangle to a vertex it becomes Euclidean.
- 2 Take a geodesic triangle with side length t/l_1 , t/l_2 and t/l_3 , expand the angle at v_1 around $t = 0$.
- 3 Result:

$$\alpha_1 = \alpha_E + \frac{K}{3}A_E + O(t^3).$$

Proving Convergence

- 1 Summing twice the above result around a vertex we have

$$R_{\eta}^2(v) = \frac{1}{3}R^2(v)A_E(\cup_{\Delta_j \ni v} \Delta_j) + O(\eta^3).$$

- 2 We must replace the Euclidean area on the right hand side with Riemannian area.
- 3 The product of curvature with area on the right hand side can be used to estimate the integral.

The Angle Difference Formula

Proposition

Let \triangle be a geodesic triangle on a Riemannian manifold. Let R and ∇R be bounded, Let d be the diameter of \triangle . Then,

$$\alpha_i = \alpha_E + \frac{K}{3} A_E + O(d^3),$$

where α_i is the angle of \triangle at v_i , α_E is the angle of $\hat{\triangle}$, and A_E is the area of $\hat{\triangle}$.

Constant-Curvature Law of Cosines

- The law of cosines relates angles to side lengths:

$$\cos \alpha_1 = \frac{\cos(\sqrt{K}l_1) - \cos(\sqrt{K}l_2)\cos(\sqrt{K}l_3)}{\sin(\sqrt{K}l_2)\sin(\sqrt{K}l_3)}.$$

- We Taylor expand this law, in terms of

$$s_1 = \sqrt{K}l_1, \quad s_2 = \sqrt{K}l_2, \quad s_3 = \sqrt{K}l_3.$$

Taylor Expanding

Numerator:

$$\left[1 - \frac{(s_1)^2}{2} + \frac{(s_1)^4}{24} + O((s_1)^6)\right] \\ - \left[1 - \frac{(s_2)^2}{2} + \frac{(s_2)^4}{24} + O((s_2)^6)\right] \left[1 - \frac{(s_3)^2}{2} + \frac{(s_3)^4}{24} + O((s_3)^6)\right]$$

Taylor Expanding

Denominator:

$$\left[s_2 - \frac{(s_2)^3}{6} + O((s_2)^5) \right] \left[s_3 - \frac{(s_3)^3}{6} + O((s_3)^5) \right]$$

Taylor Expanding

Altogether:

$$\begin{aligned}\cos(\alpha_1) &= -\frac{1}{s_2 s_3} \\ &\times \left[\frac{1}{2} [(s_1)^2 - (s_2)^2 - (s_3)^2] + \frac{1}{4} (s_2 s_3)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{24} [(s_1)^4 - (s_2)^4 - (s_3)^4] + O(K^3 d^6) \right] \\ &\times \left[1 + \frac{1}{6} [(s_2)^2 + (s_3)^2] + O(K d^4) \right] + O(d^3)\end{aligned}$$

Taylor Expanding

Continuing:

$$\begin{aligned}\cos(\alpha_1) &= \frac{(s_2)^2 + (s_3)^2 - (s_1)^2}{2s_2s_3} \\ &+ \frac{s_2s_3}{6} \left(\frac{(s_1)^2 + (s_2)^2 + (s_3)^2 - 2\{(s_1s_2)^2 + (s_1s_3)^2 + (s_2s_3)^2\}}{4(s_2s_3)^2} \right) \\ &+ O(d^3)\end{aligned}$$

Eureka!

The Euclidean law of cosines!

$$\cos(\alpha_E) = \frac{(l_2)^2 + (l_3)^2 - (l_1)^2}{2l_2l_3},$$

$$\cos^2(\alpha_E) = \frac{(l_1)^4 + (l_2)^4 + (l_3)^4 + 2(l_2)^2(l_3)^2 - 2(l_1)^2 [(l_2)^2 + (l_3)^2]}{4(l_2l_3)^2}.$$

Simplifying

$$\begin{aligned}\cos(\alpha_1) &= \cos(\alpha_E) + \frac{s_2 s_3}{6} [\cos^2(\alpha_E) - 1] + O(d^3) \\ &= \cos(\alpha_E) - \frac{s_2 s_3}{6} \sin^2(\alpha_E) + O(d^3).\end{aligned}$$

Angles or Cosines?

We plug this into another expansion,

$$\cos^{-1}(x) = \cos^{-1}(a) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-a^2}}(x-a) + O(x-a)^2.$$

The result is as desired:

$$\alpha_1 = \alpha_E + \frac{K}{3}A_E + O(d^3).$$

A Nonconstant Curvature Law of Cosines

Proposition

Let \triangle be a geodesic triangle on a Riemannian 2-manifold. Let $\|R\|$ and $\|\nabla R\|$ be bounded. Let d be the diameter of \triangle . Assume \triangle contains no conjugate points. Then,

$$\cos(\alpha_i) = \frac{\cos(\sqrt{K}l_i) - \cos(\sqrt{K}l_j)\cos(\sqrt{K}l_k)}{\sin(\sqrt{K}l_j)\sin(\sqrt{K}l_k)} + O(d^3),$$

where α_i is the angle at v_i , l_m is the length of the side opposite v_m , and K is the sectional curvature at v_i .

A Constant Curvature Approximation

- 1 A metric g can be Taylor expanded:

$$g = \delta_{ij} - \frac{1}{3}R_{iklj}(0)x^k x^l + \nabla_m R_{iklj}(0)x^k x^l x^m + O(|x|^4).$$

- 2 We can approximate g up to second order by a constant curvature metric; lengths should agree to high order.
- 3 We take a manifold with constant sectional curvature equal to $K(v_1)$, and line its normal coordinates up with ours.

A Constant Curvature Approximation

- 1 We know

$$\alpha_1^K = \alpha_1, \quad s_2^K = s_2, \quad s_3^K = s_3.$$

- 2 By the law of cosines already have

$$\cos(\alpha_1) = \frac{\cos(\sqrt{K}l_1^K) - \cos(\sqrt{K}l_2)\cos(\sqrt{K}l_3)}{\sin(\sqrt{K}l_2)\sin(\sqrt{K}l_3)}.$$

- 3 We must compute

$$|l_1 - l_1^K|.$$

Comparing Curves in the Tangent Space

We must compare lengths in the tangent space.

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{g} &= \exp_g^* g, & \hat{g}_K &= \exp_{g_K}^* g_K, \\ \hat{\gamma}_1 &= \exp_g^{-1} \gamma_1(t), & \hat{\gamma}_1^K &= \exp_{g_K}^{-1} \gamma_1^K(t).\end{aligned}$$

Comparing Curves in the Tangent Space

- Both curves are geodesics for their respective metrics, so

$$l_1 \leq \int_{\hat{\gamma}_1^K} \sqrt{\hat{g}(\dot{\hat{\gamma}}_1^K(t), \dot{\hat{\gamma}}_1^K(t))} dt,$$

$$l_1^K \leq \int_{\hat{\gamma}_1} \sqrt{\hat{g}_K(\dot{\hat{\gamma}}_1(t), \dot{\hat{\gamma}}_1(t))} dt.$$

Comparing Curves in the Tangent Space

- If $l_1 > l_1^K$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 |l_1 - l_1^K| &= l_1 - l_1^K \\
 &= \int_{[0,1]} \left[\sqrt{\hat{g}(\dot{\gamma}_1(t), \dot{\gamma}_1(t))} - \sqrt{\hat{g}_K(\dot{\gamma}_1^K(t), \dot{\gamma}_1^K(t))} \right] dt \\
 &\leq \int_{[0,1]} \left[\sqrt{\hat{g}(\dot{\gamma}_1^K(t), \dot{\gamma}_1^K(t))} - \sqrt{\hat{g}_K(\dot{\gamma}_1^K(t), \dot{\gamma}_1^K(t))} \right] dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

- If $l_1 < l_1^K$, then

$$|l_1 - l_1^K| \leq \int_{[0,1]} \left[\sqrt{\hat{g}_K(\dot{\gamma}_1(t), \dot{\gamma}_1(t))} - \sqrt{\hat{g}(\dot{\gamma}_1(t), \dot{\gamma}_1(t))} \right] dt.$$

Comparing Curves in the Tangent Space

Thus we have the bound

$$|l_1 - l_1^K| \leq \max\left\{ \int_{[0,1]} \left| \sqrt{g(\dot{\gamma}_1(t), \dot{\gamma}_1(t))} - \sqrt{g_K(\dot{\gamma}_1(t), \dot{\gamma}_1(t))} \right| dt, \right. \\ \left. \int_{[0,1]} \left| \sqrt{g(\dot{\gamma}_1^K(t), \dot{\gamma}_1^K(t))} - \sqrt{g_K(\dot{\gamma}_1^K(t), \dot{\gamma}_1^K(t))} \right| dt \right\}$$

- Taylor expanding, the first two terms cancel by construction.
- Since tangent vectors are also bounded, we get

$$|l_1 - l_1^K| = O(d^4).$$

- We plug this in to the cosine law:

$$\cos(\alpha_1) = \frac{\cos(\sqrt{K}l_1) - \cos(\sqrt{K}l_2)\cos(\sqrt{K}l_3)}{\sin(\sqrt{K}l_2)\sin(\sqrt{K}l_3)} + O(d^3).$$

Approximating the Integral

Proposition

Let U be measurable. Let R and ∇R be bounded on U . If T is a geodesic triangulation of U with mesh η , then

$$\left| R^2(U) - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{v_i \in U} R^2(v_i) \sum_{\Delta_j \ni v_i} A(\Delta_j) \right| \leq c(\|R\|, \|\nabla R\|) [A(U)\eta + A(\partial_\eta U)],$$

where v_i and Δ_j are vertices and triangles of T , A is the Riemannian area, and $\partial_\eta U$ is the set of points less than η from ∂U .

Start With an Expansion

- We Taylor expand R^2 around v_1 and integrate over Δ :

$$R^2(\Delta) - R^2(v_1)A(\Delta) \leq \|\nabla R^2\|A(\Delta)d + O(d^2).$$

- We sum over triangles:

$$R^2\left(\bigcup_{\Delta_j \in U} \Delta_j\right) - \sum_{\Delta_j \in U} R^2(v_1^j)A(\Delta_j) \leq \|\nabla R\|A(U)\eta + O(\eta^2)$$

More Estimates

- Counting triangles or vertices?

$$\left| \frac{1}{3} \sum_{v_i \in U} R^2(v_i) \sum_{\Delta_j \ni v_i} A(\Delta_j) - \sum_{\Delta_j \in U} R^2(v_1^j) A(\Delta_j) \right| = O(\eta).$$

- Difference between U and its triangulation:

$$R^2(U) - R^2 \left(\bigcup_{\Delta_j \in U} \Delta_j \right) \leq \|R\| A(\partial_\eta U).$$

Our Estimates' Powers Combined

Combining:

$$\left| R^2(U) - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{v_i \in U} R^2(v_i) \sum_{\Delta_j \ni v_i} A(\Delta_j) \right|$$
$$\leq c(\|R\|, \|\nabla R\|) [A(U)_\eta + A(\partial_\eta U)]$$

Out of Many Estimates, One Result

Theorem

$$|R_{\eta}^2(U) - R^2(U)| \leq c [A(U)_{\eta} + A(\partial_{\eta} U)].$$

The Triangle Inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |R_\eta^2(U) - R^2(U)| \\
 & \leq \left| R_\eta^2(U) - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{v_i \in U} R^2(v_i) \sum_{\Delta_j \ni v_i} A_E(\Delta_j) \right| \\
 & \quad + \left| \frac{1}{3} \sum_{v_i \in U} R^2(v_i) \sum_{\Delta_j \ni v_i} A_E(\Delta_j) - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{v_i \in U} R^2(v_i) \sum_{\Delta_j \ni v_i} A(\Delta_j) \right| \\
 & \quad + \left| \frac{1}{3} \sum_{v_i \in U} R^2(v_i) \sum_{\Delta_j \ni v_i} A(\Delta_j) - R^2(U) \right|
 \end{aligned}$$

We've estimated the first and last term:

- $$\left| R_\eta^2(U) - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{v_i \in U} R^2(v_i) \sum_{\Delta_j \ni v_i} A_E(\Delta_j) \right| = O(\eta^3).$$

- $$\left| \frac{1}{3} \sum_{v_i \in U} R^2(v_i) \sum_{\Delta_j \ni v_i} A(\Delta_j) - R^2(U) \right|$$

$$\leq c(\|R\|, \|\nabla R\|) [A(U)\eta + A(\partial_\eta U)].$$

The middle term is a difference between Euclidean and Riemannian areas.

$$\left| \frac{1}{3} \sum_{v_i \in U} R^2(v_i) \sum_{\Delta_j \ni v_i} A(\Delta_j) - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{v_i \in U} R^2(v_i) \sum_{\Delta_j \ni v_i} A_E(\Delta_j) \right|$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{3} \sum_{v_i \in U} |R^2(v_i)| \sum_{\Delta_j \ni v_i} |A(\Delta_j) - A_E(\Delta_j)|.$$

A Final Expansion

We use a volume form expansion,

$$dV_g = \left[1 - \frac{1}{6} R_{kl} x^k x^l + O(|x|^3) \right] dV_E,$$

to show

$$\frac{1}{3} \sum_{v_i \in U} |R^2(v_i)| \sum_{\Delta_j \ni v_i} |A(\Delta_j) - A_E(\Delta_j)| = O(\eta^2).$$

Our Result!

Thus, to leading order,

$$|R_{\eta}^2(U) - R^2(U)| \leq c(\|R\|, \|\nabla R\|) [A(U)\eta + A(\partial_{\eta}U)].$$

Concluding Remarks

- In higher dimensions, look at angle defect around simplices of codimension 2.
- Proof in higher dimensions is *not local*.
- We hope to find a local proof in dimension 3.
- Possible use: approximating Ricci flow.